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Thesis co-supervisor (if applicable)
Name: ______________________________ Signature: ______________________
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DATE OF THE DEFENCE: ________________

COMMITTEE DECISION: (A, B or C) ☐

A) If the committee members are generally satisfied with the results of the comprehensive examination, even if it could benefit from minor changes, the comprehensive examination will be approved. After deliberating, the committee members will submit agreed-upon comments and suggestions that the student will need to consider as the student pursues their work on the thesis.

B) If a single member of the committee is not satisfied with the comprehensive examination, it will be approved but the dissatisfied committee member will explain their objections. The committee members will need to agree on wording that clearly identifies the concerns that the student will need to address, to the committee’s satisfaction, as the student pursues their work on the thesis.
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